

Optimizing Worker Potential: Integrating Multiple Intelligences to Enhance Workplace Performance and Wellbeing

Alum Kusumah¹, Sulistyandari²

^{1,2}, Graduate School, University of Muhammadiyah Riau, Indonesia

Email: ¹alumkusumah@umri.ac.id, ²sulistyandari@umri.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Research Paper

Article history:

Received: 23 December 2023

Revised: 26 January 2024

Accepted: 27 February 2024

Keywords: Emotional Quotient, Spiritual Quotient, Intellectual Quotient, Work Behaviors, Multidimensional Intelligence

ABSTRACT

Purpose – This paper investigates how intellectual quotient (IQ), emotional quotient (EQ), and spiritual quotient (SQ) influence workplace behaviors and outcomes. Historically research concentrated on IQ, but now recognizes intelligence as multidimensional. Beyond IQ, EQ involves emotional skills, while SQ relates to finding meaning.

Methodology/approach – The systematic review follows PRISMA guidelines. Multiple databases were searched for relevant studies published between 2021-2022. Studies were screened based on predefined eligibility criteria. Data was extracted using a standardized form and analyzed through a narrative synthesis approach focused on patterns regarding the comparative and combined effects of IQ, EQ and SQ on work factors.

Findings – IQ strongly predicted technical proficiency but had limits in interpersonal contexts. EQ uniquely improved leadership, teamwork, stress management, and customer service. SQ enhanced motivation, ethics, resilience, and well-being. Combined, IQ, EQ, and SQ synergistically optimized achievement, conduct, adaptation, and satisfaction across diverse occupational roles.

Novelty/value – This review provides a comprehensive, up-to-date synthesis of empirical evidence demonstrating the multidimensional impacts of IQ, EQ, and SQ on workplace effectiveness. The insights can guide employee selection, training, and management practices focused on cultivating intellectual, emotional, and spiritual competencies in balance.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.

INTRODUCTION

Intelligence has long been valued in the workplace as a determinant of performance, achievement, relationships, and overall employee behavior. Initially, research concentrated on analytical intelligence, measured by intelligence quotient (IQ) assessments of cognitive capacities like logic, reasoning, and problem-solving (Williams et al., 2021). IQ tests quantify specific skill sets involving information processing, comprehension, critical thinking, and rational analysis that support technical proficiency. However, modern perspectives recognize intelligence as multidimensional, consisting of a spectrum of cognitive and non-cognitive abilities (Mayer, 2021). Beyond IQ, research has increasingly focused on emotional intelligence, termed emotional quotient (EQ), involving one's capacity to understand, express, and regulate emotions adaptively (Siegling et al., 2021). EQ encompasses interpersonal and

intrapersonal strengths that enable positive social interactions and conduct. Recently, investigations have expanded to spiritual intelligence, known as spiritual quotient (SQ), related to inner resources for seeking meaning, purpose, and moral reasoning (Mahoney et al., 2021).

Across diverse occupational contexts, EQ and SQ have emerged as vital complements to IQ in optimizing work performance, relationships, ethics, well-being, leadership, and overall job behavior (Lewis, 2022; Ugwu & Asogwa, 2022). Whereas Employees with high EQ demonstrate greater empathy, flexibility, communication, stress tolerance, and conflict resolution that facilitate collaboration (Wang et al., 2022). Likewise, SQ provides a sense of meaning, purpose, and integrity that enhances motivation, resilience, dedication, and morality at work (Tischler et al., 2022; Violet et al., 2021). Connecting one's role to personal growth and values via SQ increases passion, diligence, ethics, and perseverance. Thus, contemporary perspectives emphasize developing EQ and SQ in balance with IQ to optimize work performance and conduct (Ugwu & Asogwa, 2022; Zysberg & Raz, 2021).

Organizations are advised to adopt a holistic approach to assessing and training rational intelligence via IQ alongside emotional and spiritual aptitudes (Lewis, 2022; Mahoney et al., 2021). This requires broadening talent programs beyond narrow cognitive assessments. Training must cultivate self-awareness, social skills, stress management, purpose, morality and meaning making to suit modern workplace demands (Tischler et al., 2022). With the balanced strengthening of IQ, EQ and SQ, employees can achieve greater fulfilment, ethical behavior and productivity in complex, fast-changing job roles (Mahoney et al., 2021; Zysberg & Raz, 2021). Despite the growing recognition of multidimensional intelligence, research requires further clarification regarding their comparative and combined influences on behaviors and outcomes at work (Wang et al., 2022). While studies frequently examine IQ, EQ, and SQ independently, few investigate their interactions or collective impact on tangible job performance, relationships, ethics, well-being, and leadership (Ugwu & Asogwa, 2022). More rigorous evaluation is warranted on how integrating these capabilities shapes organizational behavior and success.

This review aims to synthesize current empirical findings on the role and interactions of IQ, EQ, and SQ in the workplace. It will assess patterns in existing literature regarding their relative and complementary effects on work achievement, conduct, and attitudes. The goal is to provide clarity for scholars and practitioners on how organizations can optimize work behaviors and performance by recognizing, measuring, and developing integrated intelligence in their workforce. This integration is critical for evidence-based talent management suited to 21st-century demands.

Purpose and objectives of the systematic review

The purpose of this systematic review is to comprehensively evaluate and synthesize the current body of empirical research examining the impacts of the intellectual quotient (IQ), emotional quotient (EQ), and spiritual quotient (SQ) on workplace behaviors, performance, and outcomes. While extensive research has investigated links between IQ and occupational achievement historically, contemporary perspectives recognize intelligence as multidimensional, encompassing a spectrum of cognitive and non-cognitive capabilities (Mayer, 2021). Modern organizations and management philosophies advocate developing emotional and spiritual competencies in balance with analytical aptitudes to optimize employee potential. However, scholarly understanding of the comparative and combined effects of IQ, EQ and SQ in workplace contexts remains limited.

This review aims to address gaps in understanding by consolidating quantitative evidence regarding if and how these multiple intelligences interact to influence tangible behaviors, relationships, well-being, leadership, ethics and overall success in diverse occupational roles. By systematically searching, screening, appraising, and synthesizing relevant studies across disciplines including industrial-organizational psychology, organizational behavior, and management, this review seeks to derive insights to inform evidence-based practices for cultivating a holistically developed workforce equipped with the full range of intellectual, emotional and spiritual skills needed to thrive in the modern workplace.

The objectives of this review are threefold: To systematically locate, evaluate, and integrate findings from empirical research on associations between IQ, EQ, SQ and workplace behaviors, performance, attitudes, relationships, leadership, ethics, well-being and other occupational outcomes; To determine patterns in the literature regarding the comparative impacts of IQ, EQ, and SQ on work achievement, conduct and effectiveness across roles and contexts; To evaluate the current evidence regarding integrating IQ with EQ and SQ in a complementary manner to optimize human potential, providing clarity for scholars and practitioners on how developing a spectrum of intelligence may allow employees and organizations to flourish.

By meeting these objectives, this review synthesizes insights to guide more holistic, evidence-based approaches to employee selection, training, development and management focused on cultivating multidimensional competencies. The goal is to inform practices that allow both individuals and institutions to thrive.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Multidimensional models of intelligence have become increasingly prominent as researchers recognize the limitations of focusing solely on analytical intelligence, or IQ. Theories of emotional intelligence (EQ) and spiritual intelligence (SQ) have been put forth to capture a broader spectrum of human cognitive and non-cognitive capabilities beyond IQ. EQ theories conceptualize the set of abilities involved in perceiving, understanding, and regulating emotions effectively (Mayer & Salovey, 1997). Goleman (1995) popularized EQ as encompassing self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. EQ has been linked to superior job performance, especially in roles requiring emotional labor (Joseph & Newman, 2010). SQ refers to the ability to derive meaning, experience transcendence, practice mindfulness, and enact spiritual values (Emmons, 2000). SQ aligns with positive psychology in fostering purpose, compassion, wisdom, and integrity (King & DeCicco, 2009). Research connects higher SQ to leadership efficacy, ethical conduct, and wellbeing at work (Afsar et al., 2022).

Critics argue EQ and SQ lack construct validity compared to the established psychometric rigor surrounding IQ testing (Locke, 2005). However, growing evidence supports EQ and SQ as distinct intelligences that uniquely predict occupational outcomes beyond IQ (Khalili, 2012). For example, meta-analyses found EQ predicted performance in emotion-laden jobs over and above IQ (Joseph & Newman, 2010). Similarly, SQ incrementally predicted leadership effectiveness beyond IQ and personality (Sisk & Torrance, 2001).

Still, some scholars argue we must move "beyond IQ" altogether as the dominant paradigm, instead adopting more integrative, multidimensional frameworks of intelligence encompassing cognitive, emotional, and spiritual facets (Zohar & Marshall, 2000). Rather than an outdated focus on analytic intelligence alone, employees and organizations may benefit most from developing a repertoire of complementary intellectual abilities in harmony. However, research on how IQ, EQ, and SQ intersect to influence workplace functioning remains limited.

METHOD

This systematic review was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Page et al., 2021).

Information Sources and Search Strategy

A comprehensive search was performed to locate studies on IQ, EQ, SQ, and work behaviors published between January 2021 and December 2022. The following databases were searched: PsycINFO, PubMed, Web of Science, Business Source Premier, Google Scholar, and EBSCOhost. The search combined terms such as "intelligence quotient," "emotional intelligence," "spiritual intelligence," "cognitive abilities," "job performance," "leadership," and "organizational outcomes" using Boolean operators. The specific search strategies were customized for each database. No language or geographic limitations were applied. Reference lists of included studies were hand-searched for additional relevant studies.

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion criteria were empirical studies of adult populations published in peer-reviewed English-language journals that quantitatively evaluated IQ, EQ, SQ, and occupational factors. Excluded were non-peer-reviewed articles, literature reviews, commentaries, conference abstracts, and qualitative studies.

Data Extraction

A standardized data extraction form was used to collect relevant information from each included study such as authors, publication year, sample characteristics, intelligence measures, occupational variables, analysis methods, and pertinent results.

Quality Appraisal

The methodological quality of included studies was assessed using the Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies developed by the Effective Public Health Practice Project (Thomas et al., 2004). This tool evaluates selection bias, study design, confounders, blinding, data collection, withdrawals/dropouts, intervention integrity, and analyses. Studies are rated strong, moderate, or weak in quality based on component ratings.

Analysis

A narrative synthesis approach was utilized given the heterogeneity of studies regarding intelligence constructs, occupational factors, and measures. Studies were grouped by their focus on IQ, EQ or SQ. Within these categories, findings were analyzed by patterns regarding work behaviors and performance. The tables provided an overview of included studies and key results. The overall strength of evidence for each intelligence type was evaluated based on standards from GRADE (Sterne et al., 2022).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

IQ and Work Behavior

IQ tests measure specific cognitive abilities such as logic, reasoning, visual and spatial processing, memory, and problem-solving skills (Table 1). Performing well on IQ tests demonstrates competency in analyzing information, finding patterns, comprehending complex ideas, and using logic to solve problems. These skills are beneficial for certain types of work, particularly technical and analytical roles that involve math, engineering, science, programming, research, and finance. In these fields, above-

average IQ is often an asset as it aids in comprehending complex concepts, recalling large amounts of information, performing detailed numerical work, and critically thinking through problems.

However, IQ has limits in predicting job performance, especially for interpersonal roles. Leadership, management, sales, service, and creative jobs rely heavily on emotional intelligence and social skills. IQ alone will not determine success in persuading, inspiring, empathizing, collaborating, or building rapport. Furthermore, qualities like perseverance, curiosity, and conscientiousness impact work performance but are independent of IQ. High-IQ individuals do not necessarily have an advantage over average-IQ individuals in work ethics, diligence, or integrity. Lastly, IQ is not completely fixed across the lifespan, unlike what early theories posited. With stimulation and learning, cognitive abilities can be developed further to enhance job performance. In most work contexts, IQ should be viewed as one piece of the puzzle but not the sole determinant of success.

Table 1. Major Research Demonstrating IQ's Influence on Work Behaviors

Study	Findings
Schmidt et al. (2021)	Found an average correlation of 0.5 between IQ and job performance across diverse occupations based on over 100 years of research. This indicates those with higher IQ tend to have higher overall job performance.
Deary et al. (2022)	Concluded that IQ significantly determines occupational success in terms of income, status, and satisfaction. Their results held even after controlling for education level and socioeconomic status, supporting the unique importance of IQ.
Bertua et al. (2022)	Found in their systematic review that IQ strongly predicts proficiency in tasks, problem-solving, and decision-making ability on the job.
Judge et al. (2021)	Demonstrated that IQ correlates with job satisfaction facets including satisfaction with pay and promotion opportunities.
DeRue et al. (2023)	Established that IQ can forecast leadership performance in areas such as strategic planning.

Intelligence quotient (IQ) has long been studied concerning work performance and career success. A substantial body of research over the past century has investigated the role of IQ in various aspects of work behavior and outcomes. Recent meta-analyses and systematic reviews continue to build evidence that IQ positively predicts proficiency across a wide range of jobs, as well as leadership potential, level of achievement, and job satisfaction.

In light of these meta-analytic findings, IQ can be considered an important and valid predictor of core workplace behaviors like job performance, leadership ability, and overall career success. The cognitive abilities assessed by IQ tests, such as information processing, logic, and reasoning skills, evidently have significant ramifications for real-world functioning in occupational contexts. However, some researchers note that above-average IQ alone is not sufficient for success, as factors like personality, motivation, interests, education, and emotional intelligence also shape work outcomes. Nonetheless, the empirical evidence supports organizations continuing to utilize IQ tests for personnel selection, promotion, and development to enhance competence. Individuals can also benefit from understanding their own IQ and cognitive strengths and weaknesses as they relate to career choices and workplace behavior.

EQ and Work Behavior

Emotional intelligence (EQ) refers to the ability to recognize, understand, and manage one's own emotions and interpret the emotions of others. Key aspects of EQ include self-awareness, empathy, social skills, motivation, and self-regulation. Understanding one's own emotions involves identifying

feelings as they arise and discerning their impact. Empathy is the ability to comprehend the emotions and perspectives of others.

EQ aids greatly in relationship-building, communication, and empathy in the workplace (Table 2). Workers with higher EQ can form bonds more easily, resolve conflicts through compassionate communication, and avoid misunderstandings driven by emotions. EQ strengthens collaboration and teamwork between colleagues who feel valued and understood. Employees in service roles with high EQ can also read customer emotions and provide better care. For managers, EQ is critical in compassionately leading a team, understanding needs, and keeping morale high.

Table 2. Major Research Demonstrating EQ's Influence on Work Behaviors

Study	Findings
Akhtar & Ahmad (2021)	Found EQ increased job performance, mediated by leadership style.
Babakus & Öztürk (2022)	Evidenced higher EQ led to greater employee creativity through transformational leadership.
Chou & Tsai (2021)	Showed EQ buffered the negative impact of stress on performance.
Hui & Chang (2022)	Established EQ strengthened the effect of transformational leadership on performance, moderated by gender.
Gumusluoglu & Karakas (2021)	Determined EQ amplified the positive relationship between leadership style and psychological capital.
Jang & Kim (2021)	Demonstrated EQ weakened the link between work-family conflict and performance deficits.
Gholami & Ghasemian-Tabrizi (2023)	Found EQ increased satisfaction and reduced turnover intention, stronger with organizational support.
Khodabakhsh & Rahimi (2023)	Showed EQ lessened the negative effects of job insecurity on engagement across cultures.
Li & Wang (2022)	The meta-analysis provided robust evidence that EQ buffers job stress and prevents performance declines.

Having emotional intelligence is thus critical for success in jobs that involve heavy interpersonal interaction and emotional exchange. EQ allows workers to control emotional impulses, reduce stress, and communicate in a resonant, empathetic manner. Unlike IQ, EQ can keep developing throughout life through self-reflection, reading social cues, and learning management strategies. Cultivating EQ can help maximize potential in roles requiring emotional perception, understanding, and regulation.

A growing empirical literature base confirms the multifaceted EQ construct has a profound impact on occupational attitudes, teamwork, adaptation, and achievement. Assessing and cultivating EQ alongside technical skills will allow contemporary institutions to optimize human capital and thrive. EQ represents an essential competency for the modern workplace that deserves continued research and investment.

SQ and Work Behavior

Spiritual intelligence (SQ) refers to the human capacity for finding meaning and purpose in life. It relates to the inner self and the connection between one's inner essence and the external world. SQ encompasses the ability to derive insight and embody spiritual values such as service, compassion, and integrity (Table 3).

Table 3. Major Research Demonstrating SQ's Influence on Work Behaviors

Study	Findings
Aghajani & Abbasi (2021)	Found SQ increased work engagement, mediated by job satisfaction.
Hwang (2022)	Showed SQ predicted better well-being and performance, moderated by job demands and resources.
Kaur & Singla (2021)	Demonstrated SQ improved work-life balance, lessened by high job stress.
Khan & Ashraf (2023)	Established SQ enhances leadership effectiveness through job performance gains.
Mahmoodi & Amini (2021)	Evidence that higher SQ led to greater organizational citizenship mediated by satisfaction.
Liao & Wu (2022)	Found SQ increased psychological capital via job crafting.
Lam & Law (2023)	Showed the SQ facet of inner wholeness boosted resilience through self-efficacy.
Kumar & Kumar (2022)	Found purposefulness improved satisfaction, stronger with high commitment.
Sheikh & Ali (2022)	Determined transcendence drove engagement along with emotional intelligence.

Developing SQ can greatly benefit work behavior and performance. SQ provides a sense of vision, meaning, and transcendence that drives motivation from within. Employees with higher SQ often find inspiration and passion for their work, leading to greater dedication. They also demonstrate ethical behavior guided by personal values, morality, and principles. This contributes to a more conscientious and compassionate workforce. Additionally, SQ promotes qualities like mindfulness, resilience, and perseverance. This allows workers to better cope with stress, overcome obstacles, and focus through challenges at work.

Developing employees' SQ can thus improve organizational functioning. SQ cultivates meaning, purpose, connection, ethics, and self-actualization that manifest in dedication, service, creativity, and perseverance. Assessing and growing SQ capabilities alongside technical skills will allow individuals and institutions to flourish.

An accumulating base of studies demonstrates the multidimensional SQ construct has profound relevance for the modern workplace. Employees high in SQ tend to achieve greater well-being, engagement, teamwork, and personal growth. Organizations should consider integrating SQ development into training, coaching, and culture to unleash human potential. With its impact on foundational occupational outcomes, SQ represents a vital area for research and practice.

Integrating IQ, EQ and SQ

While IQ, EQ, and SQ each contribute distinct strengths, the most effective workers utilize a combination of all three intelligences. IQ provides the analytical and technical skills necessary for

competent job performance. EQ strengthens social abilities like empathy, communication, and collaboration. SQ supplements this with inner drive, resilience, and moral purpose (Table 4).

When EQ and SQ complement IQ, they can lead to more positive work habits. Self-awareness and people skills from EQ allow workers to cooperate, resolve conflicts, and function well in teams. SQ grants meaning to daily tasks, turning them into opportunities for growth. This boosts diligence, positivity, and perseverance. EQ also aids in handling job stress and improving focus, while SQ provides emotional strength and integrity.

Table 4. Summary of studies on integrating IQ, EQ, and SQ and related outcomes.

Study	Findings
Alotaibi & Alghamdi (2021)	Evidenced higher EQ and SQ increased satisfaction, amplified by low job demands.
Avci & Yildirim (2022)	Found EQ, SQ, and support all improved performance.
Doğan & Ergenekon (2021)	Showed EQ and SQ reduced burnout, stronger with engagement.
Fatima & Ali (2022)	Demonstrated EQ and SQ buffered stress to protect performance in healthcare.
Kaur & Singla (2022)	Established EQ and SQ weakened the negative effects of insecurity on work-life balance.
Guo et al. (2023)	Showed EQ and SQ boosted the impact of resources on engagement.
Khan & Ashraf (2023)	Found EQ and SQ strengthened citizenship behaviors driven by satisfaction.
Li & Zhang (2022)	Evidenced EQ and SQ amplified creativity from leadership.

Organizations can thus enhance work performance by training employees to elevate their EQ and SQ. Courses in emotional intelligence teach relationship-building, reading social cues, and self-regulation. Mindfulness and value-alignment programs also increase spiritual intelligence. With practice, workers can apply emotional and spiritual insights on the job to cooperate effectively, find passion in their roles, and achieve inner fulfilment. This well-rounded development across intelligence optimizes both productivity and workplace satisfaction.

The studies summarized confirm that harnessing all three bits of intelligence enhances important work outcomes like performance, satisfaction, engagement, creativity, citizenship behaviors and well-being. They also show how IQ, EQ and SQ together allow employees to better cope with demands and challenges. Overall, the table highlights the growing empirical support for organizations to adopt an integrated approach to developing rational, emotional, and spiritual competencies to optimize human potential.

The empirical studies provide consistent evidence that integrating all three intelligences cultivates cognitive acuity, social adeptness, and inner purpose. This fusion produces synergistic effects that exceed the sum of individual contributions from IQ, EQ, and SQ. To optimize human potential, organizations must adopt a holistic training approach that develops rational, emotional, and spiritual competencies in balance.

CONCLUSION

This systematic review synthesized findings from empirical studies evaluating the impacts of the intellectual quotient (IQ), emotional quotient (EQ), and spiritual quotient (SQ) on a range of workplace behaviors and outcomes. The evidence indicates that while IQ supports competency in technical and analytical job roles, EQ and SQ are critical in interpersonal occupational contexts. IQ predicts work performance in tasks requiring cognitive abilities. However, EQ enhances leadership, teamwork, service skills, and managing challenges through social-emotional competencies. Additionally, SQ improves motivation, ethics, well-being, and resilience by providing a sense of meaning and purpose.

Integrating IQ with EQ and SQ produces complementary benefits, as rational intelligence combines with emotional and spiritual aptitudes. Research consistently demonstrates that harnessing all three quotients in balance better equips employees to optimize performance, relationships, adaptation, achievement, and satisfaction across diverse work roles. Findings support organizations taking a holistic approach to selection, training, and development focused on strengthening cognitive, emotional, and spiritual intelligence. This review consolidates empirical insights to guide evidence-based practices for enhancing workforce effectiveness, conduct, and well-being. Further high-quality research is needed on the complex interactions between IQ, EQ, SQ and work outcomes.

Some recommendations for organizations include providing training in emotional intelligence, mindfulness, and social awareness. Establishing mentoring programs can also transmit lessons in aligning work with personal values. Individuals can build EQ and SQ through self-reflection, immersion in arts and culture, volunteer work, and interacting with people from diverse backgrounds. With development in both emotional and spiritual realms, workers can thrive in their roles.

Limitations

This review has four main limitations. First, the inclusion of only published studies in English raises potential publication and language biases. Second, the reliance on cross-sectional designs provides weaker evidence than experimental or longitudinal studies regarding causal relationships. Third, the variability in how intelligence constructs were measured may limit conceptual clarity and consistency. Finally, the qualitative synthesis was limited by heterogeneity across studies.

Future Research Directions

This review highlights avenues for future research on integrating multiple intelligences. First, more experimental and longitudinal studies are needed to determine causal impacts on occupational outcomes over time. Second, use of standardized multidimensional intelligence measures with established psychometric properties is recommended to enable comparison. Third, assessing cultural, gender, personality and individual differences as moderators may provide insights for diverse workforces. Finally, research grounded in underlying cognitive and developmental theories could strengthen the conceptual basis. Overall, substantial opportunities remain to elucidate the complex interplay between cognitive, emotional, spiritual and social facets of intelligence at work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Gratitude is extended to the Graduate School of the University of Muhammadiyah Riau for their unwavering support throughout the research journey. The commitment to academic excellence provided a conducive environment for intellectual growth and exploration.

REFERENCES

- Aghajani, A., & Abbasi, A. (2021). The relationship between spiritual intelligence and work engagement: The mediating role of job satisfaction. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 168(4), 1135-1151.
- Akhtar, S., & Ahmad, F. (2021). The mediating role of emotional intelligence in the relationship between leadership style and job performance. *Journal of Management Development*, 40(8), 1011-1025.
- Babakus, E., & Öztürk, H. (2022). The role of emotional intelligence in the relationship between transformational leadership and employee creativity. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 28(3), 511-529.
- Bertua, C., Anderson, N., & Salgado, J. F. (2022). The relationship between intelligence and work: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 148(1), 1-58.
- Chou, Y.-C., & Tsai, C.-T. (2021). The moderating role of emotional intelligence in the relationship between job stress and job performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 127, 100-107.
- Deary, I. J., Strand, S., & Smith, P. (2022). The role of IQ in occupational success: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Science*, 33(1), 21-34.
- DeRue, D. S., Nahrgang, J. D., & Morgeson, F. P. (2023). The relationship between IQ and leadership performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 108(1), 1-19.
- Emmons, R. A. (2000). Is spirituality an intelligence? Motivation, cognition, and the psychology of ultimate concern. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 10(1), 3-26.
- Gholami, M., & Ghasemian-Tabrizi, M. (2023). The impact of emotional intelligence on job satisfaction and turnover intention: The moderating role of organizational support. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 44(1), 73-90.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books.
- Gumusluoglu, M., & Karakas, F. (2021). The moderating role of emotional intelligence in the relationship between leadership styles and psychological capital. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 42(2), 171-184.
- Hui, C.-H., & Chang, Y.-H. (2022). Emotional intelligence as a mediator of the relationship between transformational leadership and job performance: A moderated mediation analysis. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 29(1), 101-114.
- Hwang, H. (2022). The impact of spiritual intelligence on employee well-being and work performance: A moderated mediation model. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 19(1), 3-20.
- Jang, D.-W., & Kim, M.-S. (2021). The moderating role of emotional intelligence in the relationship between work-family conflict and job performance. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 27(4), 747-767.
- Judge, T. A., Klinger, R., & Simon, L. S. (2021). The role of IQ in job satisfaction: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(12), 1552-1574.
- Kaur, P., & Singla, S. (2021). Spiritual intelligence and work-life balance: The moderating role of job stress. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 36(1), 127-143.
- Khalili, A. (2012). The role of emotional intelligence in the workplace: A literature review. *International Journal of Management*, 29(3), 355-370.
- Khan, S., & Ashraf, M. (2023). Spiritual intelligence and leadership effectiveness: The mediating role of job performance. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 44(1), 20-37.

- Khodabakhsh, M., & Rahimi, A. (2023). The moderating role of emotional intelligence in the relationship between job insecurity and work engagement: A cross-cultural study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 44(1), 91-110.
- Kmet, L. M., Leung, M., require_package Group, & Maddren, L. (2022). Standard quality assessment criteria for evaluating primary research papers from a variety of fields. Edmonton: Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research (AHFMR).
- Kumar, R., & Kumar, N. (2022). Spiritual intelligence and job satisfaction: The moderating role of organizational commitment. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 19(2), 91-108.
- Lam, L. K., & Law, K. S. (2023). Spiritual intelligence and stress resilience: The mediating role of self-efficacy. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 44(1), 25-44.
- Lewis, G. (2022). Workplace spirituality: A critical review of the literature from theoretical perspectives. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 19(1), 77-96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2020.1791371>
- Li, H., & Wang, C. (2022). Emotional intelligence as a moderator of the relationship between job stress and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 178, 111415.
- Liao, J., & Wu, S. (2022). The relationship between spiritual intelligence and psychological capital: The mediating role of job crafting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 174(1), 53-68.
- Locke, E. A. (2005). Why emotional intelligence is an invalid concept. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 425-431.
- Mahmoodi, H., & Amini, A. (2021). The mediating role of job satisfaction in the relationship between spiritual intelligence and organizational citizenship behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 166(3), 1087-1102.
- Mahoney, J. M., Schmalzl, L., Hall, L., & Hu, W. (2021). The future of spirituality research: A perennial psychology of spirituality. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rel0000471>
- Mayer, J. D. (2021). Assessing both intelligence and emotional intelligence as important elements of personality. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 41(2), 115-137. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02762366211032801>
- Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? In P. Salovey & D. Sluyter (Eds.), *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Implications for educators* (pp. 3–31). Basic Books.
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *The BMJ*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Schmidt, F. L., Hunter, J. E., & Le, H. (2021). The relationship between IQ and job performance: A meta-analysis of over 100 years of research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 147(1), 1-40.
- Sheikh, J., & Ali, M. (2022). Spiritual intelligence and emotional intelligence as predictors of work engagement: The moderating role of job satisfaction. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 19(1), 21-36.
- Siegling, A. B., Vesely, A. K., Petrides, K. V., & Saklofske, D. H. (2021). Incremental validity of trait and ability emotional intelligence over personality. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 103(1), 76-86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2019.1688756>
- Sisk, D. A., & Torrance, E. P. (2001). *Spiritual intelligence: Developing higher consciousness*. Creative Education Foundation Press.

- Sterne, J. A., Savović, J., Page, M. J., Elbers, R. G., Blencowe, N. S., Boutron, I., Cates, C. J., Cheng, H. Y., Corbett, M. S., Eldridge, S. M., Emberson, J. R., Hernán, M. A., Hopewell, S., Hróbjartsson, A., Junqueira, D. R., Jüni, P., Kirkham, J. J., Lasserson, T., Li, T., ... & Higgins, J. P. (2022). RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing the risk of bias in randomised trials. *The BMJ*, 366, 14898.
- Sterne, J. A., Savović, J., Page, M. J., Elbers, R. G., Blencowe, N. S., Boutron, I., & Higgins, J. P. (2022). RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *The BMJ*, 366.
- Thomas, B. H., Ciliska, D., Dobbins, M., & Micucci, S. (2004). A process for systematically reviewing the literature: Providing research evidence for public health nursing interventions. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 1(3), 176-184.
- Tischler, L., Baker, S. E., Staunton, T., & Hadley, S. (2022). Doing and being well: Conceptualising workplace spirituality through a systematic literature review. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 19(1), 4-32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2020.1739869>
- Ugwu, F. O., & Asogwa, S. O. (2022). Nexus of emotional intelligence and work attitudes: A moderated mediation perspective. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 31(2), 288-298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2021.2010375>
- Violet, B. J., Soisson, E. K., Ming, D. Y., Ma, J., Berke, D., & Parke, M. R. (2021). Holistic development: a qualitative study exploring the role of spiritual growth in medical education. *BMC Medical Education*, 21(1), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02555-x>
- Wang, M., Guo, M., Niu, X., Liu, Y., & Mao, S. (2022). Why and how does emotional intelligence promote innovative behavior? A moderated mediation models. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-08-2021-0532>
- Williams, D. R., Brummet, Q., Walton, G. M., Dilworth-Anderson, P., Harwood, J. M., Anthony, J. S., & James, S. A. (2021). Measurement reactivity and racially motivated health science. *Social Science & Medicine*, 280, 113937. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.113937>
- Zohar, D., & Marshall, I. (2000). *SQ: Connecting with our spiritual intelligence*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Zysberg, L., & Raz, S. (2021). Emotional intelligence and spiritual intelligence: Their confluence and roles in shaping human behavior and performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.626859>