

The Effects of Inclusive Leadership On Job Performance Through Mediators

Phiang Nguyen

Faculty of Management and Business, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu

Email: Nguyen.p@umt.my.edu

ABSTRACT

This study aims to investigate the direct effects of inclusive leadership on person-job fit, employee well-being, and innovative behaviour. It also extends existing research to observe the role of mutual recognition respect and intrinsic motivation in job performance. By using a structural equation model approach to analyse the data survey from 387 respondents, who were working at interior design and construction companies, the results revealed that inclusive leadership has a positive impact on key determinants such as employee well-being, person-job fit, and innovative behaviour. However, person-job fit and employee well-being had no significant direct impact on job performance. The study also identified the mediating role of innovative behaviour in the linkage between person-job fit and job performance. Moreover, the results recognised two potential mediators as mutual recognition respect and intrinsic motivation could create a relationship between employee well-being and job performance. The findings highlighted theoretical and empirical contributions to further understanding of the roles of leader-member exchange policies on job performance. Finally, some managerial implications were suggested to enhance job performance in the workplace.

Keywords: person-job fit, recognition respect, intrinsic motivation, employee well-being, job performance

INTRODUCTION

The relationship between employee well-being and innovative behaviour has been explored (Scott & Bruce, 1994). This relationship was considered as two progressively concerned predictors of organisational performance (Huhtala & Parzefall, 2007). Among several potential drivers, leadership has a significant impact on employee well-being and innovative behaviour (Gong et al., 2009) through workplace social support, requirements, and control (Harris & Kacmar, 2006). However, the linkages among particular styles of leadership, employee well-being, and innovative behaviour in a specific context have been rarely studied. Exceptionally, Sharifirad (2013) clarified transformational leadership as a strong influencer of employee well-being and innovative behaviour because of its motivational impacts on subordinates. Whereas, Fletcher (2004) suggested further research on underexplored leadership styles. Specifically, building a relationship between supervisors and subordinates create an inspiring workplace (Carmeli et al., 2010). Scholars suggested that future investigation on one core facet of relational leadership, which is inclusive leadership distinguished by three main characteristics of the leader: openness, availability, and accessibility in their interactions with employees, should be conducted (Hollander, 2009; Tims et al., 2011).

Previous studies have found the strong relationship between person-job fit and specific styles of leadership (Babakus et al., 2011), employee well-being (Brkich et al., 2002; Singh & Greenhaus, 2004), and innovative behaviour (Afsar et al., 2014; Afsar et al., 2015). Indeed, the person-job fit has been identified as a mediator in the linkage between inclusive leadership and employee well-being and innovative behaviour (Choi et al., 2017; Sharifirad, 2013). The positive effect of inclusive leadership on employee well-being and innovative behaviour was discovered by Choi et al. (2017) in the Vietnamese telecommunication industry. As the study encouraged that future study should examine in other contexts with larger sample size to strengthen the findings, we attempt to enhance the finding in terms of the

linkage between inclusive leadership, person-job fit, employee well-being, and innovative behaviour in interior design and construction industry. Also, there are several typical characteristics of interior design and construction industry in Vietnam, which are of primary importance for the research field. The market for interior goods and construction materials is getting more and more competitive in the past decade. In 2015, the total consumption expenditure of all households in Vietnam on household goods valued at €10 billion, which represented a 9% increase from 2014 and was expected to grow at the average rate of 9% for 2015–2020 (according to the report of Home and Décor sector in Vietnam, 2015). Many interior design and construction projects in Vietnam have made noticeable

Effects of Inclusive Leadership

impressions on the global community platform Office Snapshots for interior designers.

The interior design and construction companies in Vietnam have achieved some global recognitions in terms of building design networks throughout training, creative, innovative, and experience activities. Also, consumer pressure and legal matters require more responsibility. As a result, interior design and construction companies face a challenge in attracting and retaining high-quality employees. It is companies that effectively motivate employees physically and psychologically obtain better and more innovative designs, strategies to compete in the dynamic and highly competitive interior market. Therefore, we conduct this study to clarify the feasible contribution that enhances employee job performance in this specific area.

Additionally, intrinsic motivation has been associated with higher job performance (Ryan & Deci, 2000a) and employee well-being since individuals are better able to satisfy their three basic psychological needs of competence, relatedness, and autonomy (Ryan & Deci, 2000b; Van den Broeck et al., 2013). In a recent study about the role of spiritual leadership in service industries in Vietnam, Nguyen et al. (2018) find that this leadership style has critical effects on organisational outcomes throughout meaning and calling, membership and empowerment.

In summary, previous studies have not investigated all dimensions of mediating variables of employee well-being, person-job fit, and innovative behaviour in examining the relationship between inclusive leadership and job performance. Therefore, to fulfill this gap, we investigated the effect of inclusive leadership on job performance through mediating factors. Our study attempts to solve these research questions:

1. Whether employee well-being, person-job fit, innovative behaviour, mutual recognition respect, and intrinsic motivation have any direct impact on job performance? Which are the most important determinants? Which factors are playing an essential role of mediators?
2. What is the influence of inclusive leadership on other factors that impact job performance?

Additionally, since mutual recognition respect and intrinsic motivation were found to foster job performance (Clarke & Mahadi, 2017; Kuvaas et al., 2017), further investigation was conducted to test the potential mediating roles of the two above mentioned factors in the association between employee well-being and innovative

Phuong V. Nguyen et al.

behaviour and job performance. These newly explored mediators extend the existing literature in a distinctive context of the interior design and construction industry in Vietnam. This study consists of five sections: the literature review of related factors; methodology including measurement, questionnaire design, and data collection; results; discussion; and conclusion of the study's findings.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Inclusive Leadership and Employee Well-being

Locke (1976) asserted that employee well-being was a positive emotional state or pleasure coming from job appraisal or experience. Danna and Griffin (1999) discovered that effect, anxiety, and frustration were psychologically considered ideal well-being indicators, while heart condition, as well as blood pressure, were physiologically regarded as well-being indicators. Two different feelings to employee well-being are negative and positive, the former indicates burnout and occupational stress, whereas the latter is defined as an effective state including two dimensions: pleasure and level of arousal (Linley & Joseph, 2004; Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004; Cartwright & Holmes, 2006). Therefore, this study follows the positive feeling and takes affective well-being as general well-being.

Inclusive leadership is considered one of the relational leaderships that leaders always concern employees' needs and are available to them (Hollander, 2009). From the elaboration on relational leadership, inclusive leadership is a form of relational leadership that leaders are willing to communicate employees' expectations and receive their feedback (Carmeli et al., 2010). This leadership is regarded as several other leadership styles, such as ethical leadership, servant leadership, and transformational leadership. Because it carries three aspects of supportive behaviours of a leader, involving availability, openness, and accessibility (Van Dierendonck, 2011). Although inclusive leadership is considered as one of the leadership styles, it motivates positive linkage qualities between leaders and followers (Choi et al., 2017).

Nembhard and Edmondson (2006) described three facets of inclusive leadership as leaders' openness, accessibility, and availability are exhibited to their followers. It means that these three aspects are the key characteristics of leaders to communicate and receive employee's ideas (Carmeli et al., 2010). Inclusive leaders are not only willing to listen and support the followers, but also enable them to encourage employees to say their expectations and discuss alternative approaches to achieve better results. These aspects enable leaders to develop reliable relationships with

66

*Effects of Inclusive
Leadership*

employees. Inclusive relationship directly impacts on employee well-being. Therefore, we propose the following hypothesis:

H₁: Inclusive leadership is positively related to employee well-

being Inclusive Leadership and Personal-job Fit

According to Shin (2004), person-job fit can be described as "the perceived degree of harmonizing between one's knowledge, skills, abilities, needs, values, and the requirements of specific jobs or job tasks." It is said to be a good person-job fit when an individual appears to perform a job with

compatible abilities (Kristof-Brown et al., 2002). Person-job fit is separated into two sub-types (Edwards, 1991). One form is a demand-ability fit meaning the matching of employees' knowledge, skills, abilities, and the job's requirements. Meanwhile, the other is the need-supply or supply-value fit, indicating employees' needs, desires, and preferences achieved by their job performance (Kristof-Brown et al., 2005).

Three potential ways indicate the relationship between inclusive leadership and person-job fit. Firstly, according to Choi et al. (2015), openness is the characteristic of an inclusive leader. It may help employees reduce the feeling of uncertainty and ambiguity of their roles (Thomas & Lankau, 2009). Therefore, capabilities are enhanced to meet job requirements. Next, a supportive work climate could be built through inclusive leadership that strengthens the belief of the compatible between their abilities and job requirement (Hollander, 2009; Tims et al., 2011). It means that employees can be motivated as well as experience less aversive arousal due to failure or hardship in a supportive working environment. Babakus et al. (2011) demonstrate that motivation coming from a supportive climate promotes employees' person-job fit. Finally, the inclusive leader tends to provide their employees with expertise experiences through their constant support (Bandura, 1977). In addition, Tims et al. (2011) propose that mastery experiences significantly enhance employees' confidence in their abilities because of positive feedback about their performance. Specifically, inclusive leadership heightens the perception of person-job fit from employees. Therefore, the second hypothesis is proposed:

H₂: Inclusive leadership is positively related to person-

job fit **Inclusive Leadership and Innovative Behaviour**

Farr and Ford (1990) described innovative behavior as "the intentional introduction within one's work role of new and useful ideas, processes, products, or procedures." It included a multi-stage process of recognising the problem,

67

Phuong V. Nguyen et al.

generating ideas, supporting ideas, and implementing ideas (Kanter, 1988; Scott

& Bruce, 1994). Amabile (1988) considers innovative behaviour as an issue of motivation, while it is also pointed out facilitating by many factors from individuals, groups, and organisations (Anderson et al., 2004). Moreover, each leadership style has a different impact on innovative employee behaviour such as the relation of innovative behaviour with ethical leadership (Yidong & Xinxin, 2013); and transformational leadership (Sharifirad, 2013; Afsar et al., 2014; Afsar et al., 2015). Those relations have one common characteristic that the leader has to encourage, foster, and support the creativity of their employees resulting in innovative behaviour (Shalley & Gilson, 2004). Moreover, Rawung et al. (2015) found that a leadership style had a positive effect on knowledge sharing.

Like previous studies, the three aspects of inclusive leadership: openness, accessibility, and availability not only promote the satisfaction of employees in interactions with their leader but also enhance their knowledge and expertise (Carmeli et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2015). Hence, they are likely to involve more in innovative work. The relationship between inclusive leadership and employee's innovative behaviour is positively related in three ways. Firstly, it is the leader's supportive behaviour to motivate their employee's engagement in innovative work

because they receive emotional and cognitive resources (Vinarski-Peretz & Carmeli, 2011). Open interactions, support, encouragement of the leader boost followers’ creativity (Tierney et al., 1999), which is a primary factor of innovative behaviour (West, 2002). Secondly, employees are encouraged to give out their novel ideas and suggestions contributing to the foundation of perception of support for employees’ creativity (Cerne et al., 2013) and to express their ideas and true thoughts in a supportive working climate as well as to come up with unconventional or contradictory thoughts without feeling insecure or afraid (Avolio et al., 2004). The working climate is improved over time throughout facilitating and fostering innovative behaviour by a leader’s support (Åmo, 2006; Cropanzano, 2005). Lastly, inclusive leaders with the features of availability and accessibility enable to explore and evaluate employee performance and innovative behavior. Therefore, we propose the following hypothesis:

H3: Inclusive leadership is positively related to innovative employee behaviour

73
Phuong V. Nguyen et al.




Figure 1. The research model

METHODOLOGY

In this study, we use a back-translation procedure as the method for conducting the questionnaire design. The initial English version was translated into Vietnamese with some adjustments to fit the Vietnamese context and then back-translated into the original language. The procedure continued until the two versions of the measurement scale were compatible with meaning. The measurement of all variables was based on a 5-point Likert-type scale, ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

Measurement

First, six items of inclusive leadership variable were adapted from Choi et al. (2017) to assess three dimensions of inclusive leaders: openness, availability, and accessibility with two items representing each dimension. Sample items for openness dimension were “My manager is attentive to new opportunities to improve work processes” and “My manager is open to discuss the desired goals and new ways to achieve them.” Secondly, three items were adapted from Mulki

et al. (2006) and Choi et al. (2017) to measure person-job fit. The items were:

(1) My skills and abilities perfectly match with my job demands; (2) My personal likes and dislikes match perfectly with my job demands; and (3) There is a good fit between my job and me. Mulki et al. (2006) clarified that the person-job fit is positively associated with participate leadership and overall job satisfaction.

Thirdly, five items adapted from Arnold et al. (2007) are used to measure employee well-being asking about the extent to which employees feel motivated, energetic, enthusiastic, lively, and joyful in the past six months. One item on cheerful feeling at work was excluded from the original scale since its meaning bore a similarity to that of being joyful in Vietnamese. Fourthly, the measurement of innovative employee behaviour is self-reported by the respondents. Six items were adapted (Janssen, 2000). Examples of the items were “I search out new working methods, techniques, or instruments” or “I transform innovative ideas into useful applications.” Fifthly, mutual recognised respect includes six items that are adapted from (Clarke & Mahadi, 2017). Sixthly, the four-item intrinsic motivation scale was adapted from Kuvaas et al. (2017), which was first introduced by Kuvaas (2006) and later developed by Kuvaas and Dysvik (2009) to discover the employees’ attitude towards their current job. Finally, job performance is assessed by six items using the scale of (Williams & Anderson, 1991). Examples of the items were “Perform tasks that are expected of him/her” or “Engages in activities that will directly affect his/her performance evaluation.” All 36 items were denoted and presented in the appendix.

Questionnaire Design

The sample size for an exploratory study requires at least 4–5 times the number of items (Malhotra et al., 2006). Specifically, a rule of thumb introduced by Green (1991) to decide on the appropriate number for observations suggests that sample size = $50 + (8 \times \text{number of measurement items})$. There are 36 measurement items in our study. Therefore, the minimum sample size should be $50 + (8 \times 36) = 338$. The questionnaire survey covers three parts: (1) introduction and purpose of the survey; (2) respondents’ profile including age, gender, education level, and working position; and (3) 36 questions constructing the hypothetical model.

Data Collection

The survey questionnaire took four months from February 2018 to May 2018. Data collection includes two phases: a pilot test and an official survey, which is conducted in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. A pilot test with the sample size of 37 face-to-face interviews is used to assess whether the designed measurement

scale was transparent enough not to cause any confusion and easy to evaluate or not. After taking feedback on the questionnaire, slight adjustments were made to complete the Vietnamese official survey.

There are about 1748 interior design and construction companies in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (Yellow Pages Vietnam, 2019). These numbers include many companies stopping doing business or going bankrupt. We could not access the real number of existing companies. Therefore, a snowball

sampling method is applied in this study as it is a non-probability sampling method and helps to approach a specific population where random sampling is not possible. With the invaluable supports from 20 company directors, we can contact 120 interior design and construction companies to distribute 400 survey questionnaires to their employees. We dropped the questionnaire hard copies at the human resource department and came back to receive the filled forms after two weeks. After eliminating 13 incomplete questionnaires, 387 valid samples are using to test the hypothetical model. This sample size also met the minimum requirement with the response rate of 96.75%, which indicated a high and preferable rate of response (the threshold mentioned above is 338).

The demographic information showed a relative gender balance. There are 204 male respondents, who account for 52.7% of the total number whereas the remaining 183 female respondents represent 47.3%. The majority of employees are in the young working-age group ranging from 20 to 40 years old, taking up 95.4%. Moreover, 74.6% of the respondents hold a bachelor’s degree or master’s degree. Meanwhile, 25.4% of them acquired higher academic qualifications.

RESULTS

Reliability Analysis

To analyse the reliability of the hypothetical model, we calculate Cronbach’s alpha coefficients and exploratory factor analysis (EFA) using SPSS 20.0 software. According to Kline (1998), the Cronbach’s alpha coefficients of around 0.9 is considered as excellent, around 0.8 as very good, from 0.6 to 0.7 as adequate and below 0.5 as unreliable. The Cronbach’s alpha indicators vary from 0.771 to 0.902 showing good internal reliability of the construct measures. In addition, KMO Barlett’s Test coefficient is required to be over 0.5 with the sig. < 0.05 and total variance explained > 50%. The requirement for factor loadings is at least 0.5. As a result, five items (IL1, IB1, IB2, IM3, and IP1) are eliminated because of inadequate factor loadings. Table 1 presented the testing results of EFA.

76

Effects of Inclusive Leadership

Confirmation Factor Analysis

The validity of a measurement model is based on factor loadings through conducting Confirmation Factor Analysis (CFA) conducted by AMOS 20.0 software. In addition, the recommended level for indices of composite reliability (CR) is at least 0.7, and average variance extracted (AVE) is larger than 0.5 (Hair et al., 1998). Table 1 reported the findings of CFA. All indices of CR and AVE satisfy the minimum criteria.

Table 1
Test of EFA and CFA

Variables	Items	EFA	CA	CR	AVE
IL	IL2	0.610	0.838	0.838	0.510
	IL3	0.644			
	IL4	0.730			
	IL5	0.764			
	IL6	0.730			
PJF	PJF1	0.725	0.808	0.816	0.598
	PJF2	0.854			

EWB	PJF3	0.745	0.902	0.902	0.649
	EWB1	0.791			
	EWB2	0.823			
	EWB3	0.773			
	EWB4	0.707			
IB	EWB5	0.737	0.849	0.850	0.587
	IB3	0.574			
	IB4	0.725			
	IB5	0.752			
	IB6	0.713			
MR	MR1	0.609	0.872	0.873	0.579
	MR2	0.663			
	MR3	0.753			
	MR4	0.721			
	MR5	0.686			
	MR6	0.597			

(continued on next page)

77
Phuong V. Nguyen et al.

Table 1: (continued)

Variables	Items	EFA	CA	CR	AVE
IM	IM1	0.517	0.771	0.775	0.536
	IM2	0.526			
	IM4	0.699			
JP	JP2	0.664	0.852	0.853	0.539
	JP3	0.707			
	JP4	0.734			
	JP5	0.632			
	JP6	0.669			

Notes: IL = inclusive leadership; PJF = person-job fit; EWB = employee well-being; IB = innovative behaviour; MR = mutual recognition respect; IM = intrinsic motivation; JP = job performance.

Table 2
Testing the model fit

Model fit indices	Recommended acceptable level	Indices value
X2/df	1 to 3	2.331
RMSEA	<0.08	0.059
GFI	>0.90	0.857
AGFI	>=0.80	0.827
IFI	>=0.90	0.922
CFI	>=0.90	0.922
PGFI	>0.50	0.708
PNFI	>0.50	0.769

The analysis of fitness of structural model indicates the statistical significance of proposed hypotheses. Recommended standards including normal chi-square (X2/ df) is from 1 to 3, goodness-of-fit index (GFI); incremental fit index (IFI); normed fit index (NFI); Tucker-Lewis index (TLI); and comparative fit index (CFI) is at least 0.8; and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) is from 0 to 0.08 (Byrne, 2016). The findings from CFA illustrated that the model fit indices (X2/ df = 2.331; p < 0.000 ; GFI = 0.857; IFI = 0.922; RMSEA = 0.059; NFI

= 0.871; TLI = 0.911; and CFI = 0.922) demonstrate the acceptable validity of measurement model. Table 2 illustrated the testing results of the model fit.⁷⁸

*Effects of Inclusive
Leadership*

Structural Equation Modeling and Hypothesis Testing

Table 3 illustrates that almost all of the estimated coefficients are statistically significant, except for the effect of employee well-being and person-job fit on job performance (rejecting H₆, H₈). The coefficients of inclusive leadership to employee well-being, person-job fit, and innovative behaviour are 0.601, 0.705, and 0.558, respectively. It means that H₁, H₂, H₃ are strongly supported, in which the relation of inclusive leadership and person-job fit has the most critical interrelation. The results also indicated that employee well-being has a considerable impact on both mutual recognition respect and intrinsic motivation with the corresponding coefficients being 0.460 and 0.697, respectively, supporting H₄, H₁₁. Mutual recognition respect, innovative behaviour, intrinsic motivation are three variables directly related to job performance with the estimated coefficients of 0.305, 0.258, and 0.224, respectively, supporting H₅, H₁₀, H₁₂. Lastly, the effects of person-job fit on employee well-being and innovative behaviour are positively significant, with the path coefficient of the former being 0.323 and of the latter being 0.120, supporting H₇, H₉.

Table 3
Hypothesis testing results

Hypothesis	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Result
H ₁ Inclusive leadership is positively related to employee well-being	0.601	0.085	7.04	***	Accepted
H ₂ Inclusive leadership is positively related to person-job fit	0.705	0.083	8.54	***	Accepted
H ₃ Inclusive leadership is positively related to innovative behavior	0.558	0.074	7.54	***	Accepted
H ₄ Employee well-being is positively related to mutual recognition respect	0.460	0.043	10.59	***	Accepted
H ₅ Mutual recognition respect is positively related job performance	0.305	0.057	5.31	***	Accepted
H ₆ Employee well-being is positively related to job performance	-0.057	0.064	-0.89	0.376	Rejected
H ₇ Person-job fit is positively related to employee well-being	0.323	0.064	5.03	***	Accepted
H ₈ Person-job fit is positively related to job performance	0.058	0.038	1.52	0.129	Rejected
H ₉ Person-job fit is positively related to innovative behavior	0.120	0.052	2.33	0.02	Accepted

*(continued on next
page)*

*Effects of Inclusive
Leadership*

DISCUSSION

Theoretical Contributions

Consistent with previous studies, this paper shows that inclusive leadership has a positive influence on employee well-being (Arnold et al., 2007; Choi et al., 2017; Sharifirad, 2013), person-job fit (Gong et al., 2009; Thomas & Lankau, 2009; Tims et al., 2011), and innovative behaviour (Shuck & Herd, 2012). The existing study proposed that positive behaviour from a particular type of leadership which had an inspirational effect on employees might foster employee well-being and person-job fit (Babakus et al., 2011; Sharifirad, 2013). Most of previous leadership research in association with employee well-being and person-job fit emphasise general leadership style (Carmeli et al., 2010; Tierney et al., 1999) or specifically, transformational leadership (Sharifirad, 2013) and servant leadership (Babakus et al., 2011) in which employees are motivated by internalising and prioritising a larger collective cause over individual interests (Lian & Tui, 2012). Nevertheless, our study focused on inclusive leadership as one underexplored facet of relational leadership, which centers employees' needs to enhance their well-being and person-job fit (Hollander, 2009). Besides, the characteristics of inclusive leaders are considered essential for triggering employees' engagement in generating innovation, especially in a knowledge-intensive, complicated and creative environment like the interior design industry in Vietnam (Carmeli et al., 2010). Leaders' supportive behavior fuels employees' commitment to contributing to the innovating process (Cerne et al., 2013; Choi et al., 2017; Vinarski-Peretz & Carmeli, 2011), which, in turn, have a positive impact on job performance. This finding is aligned with previous research (Amabile et al., 2005; Walumbwa et al., 2009).

The relationship between inclusive leadership and its effects are reassured about the Vietnamese workplace context. Choi et al. (2017) find that "leadership and its outcomes are highly culturally dependent." Distinct from the contexts in the United States and Europe, Vietnam and other Asian countries have a cultural tendency towards such characteristics as high collectivism, long-term oriented culture, and high uncertainty avoidance (Hofstede, 2001; Wang & Yi, 2011). Collectivistic cultures, for example, attach great importance to group and unity within the social group. In collectivistic cultures, leaders and employees prioritise coordinating to form a strongly cohesive working environment; meanwhile, each employee tends to be more self-centered in individualistic cultures (Hofstede, 2001). Therefore, the role of inclusive leaders is substantial in this context in correlation with employee well-being, person-job fit, and innovative behaviour since an inspiring workplace

huong V. Nguyen et al.

is created with such supportive aspects as availability, openness, and accessibility from the leaders.

Previous studies demonstrated the positive linkage between employee well-being and person-job fit and job performance (Wright & Cropanzano, 2000a; Wright, 2014). Conversely, in this study, no direct relationship is found between the two predictors and job performance. Discrepancies in different contexts might explain this contradiction. Interestingly, these findings stimulate us to discover the role of mutual recognition, respect, and intrinsic motivation as motivators in the relationship between employee well-being and job performance. Our study strengthens theory on the effect of employee well-being on recognition type of respect (Renger & Simon, 2011; Shapiro, 2010) which, in turn, enhances job performance in a different context that (Clarke & Mahadi, 2017) have developed. Likewise, in alignment with previous studies, employee well-being acts as a significant driver of intrinsic motivation which, consequently, has a positive influence on job performance (Kuvaas et al., 2017; Ryan & Deci, 2000b; Van den Broeck et al., 2013).

It is worth noting that we identified the mediating role of innovative behaviour in the relationship between person-job fit and job performance. Our study found that person-job fit is statistically significant in correlation with innovative behavior (Avolio et al., 2004; Cerne et al., 2013; Choi et al., 2017) and job performance (Akkermans et al., 2013; Hamid & Yahya, 2011; Xanthopoulou et al., 2009). Consistent with the study of Amabile et al. (2005), our result confirms the positive linkage between innovative behaviour and job performance. In such an intensively demanding industry such as interior design and construction, the priorities in job performance is employees' ability to recognise the problem, generate creative solutions, and implement them. Before doing so, an employee must acquire a predefined ability that is compatible with job demands.

The findings extend the existing literature in several ways. First, the results confirm the vital role of inclusive leadership in creating a motivational workplace where employee well-being, person-job fit, and innovative behaviour are encouraged in the context of Vietnamese interior design and construction companies. Second, though employee well-being and person-job fit, surprisingly, have no relationship to job performance, the result opens a new path for investigating the underlying mechanisms. We discover recognition respect and intrinsic motivation as two potential mediators in the linkage between employee well-being and job performance. Also, innovative behaviour is found to play a mediating role in the linkage between person-job fit and job performance. We call for future research to test the significance of these newly explored mediators in the relationship

*Effects of Inclusive
Leadership*

between different aspects of a motivating workplace to enhance overall job performance. Finally, our study is up-to-date in response to the call for further research into mutual recognition respect's effects on job-related outcomes in other cultural contexts (Clarke & Mahadi, 2017). We clarify the significance of mutual recognition respect in association with job performance in the context of Vietnam. Considering the few studies that have thoroughly investigated this dimension of respect despite its fundamental moral value (Benditt, 2008), more attention should be paid on studying mutual recognition respect in the future.

The three-step approach for testing the mediating effect by Baron and Kenny (1986) may be out-of-date and raised for further discussions. Future research must take this limitation into careful consideration. We encourage various updated approaches for mediation testing to ensure the validity of the result. Besides, concerning the distinctive characteristics of a young but intensively demanding industry of interior design and construction in Vietnam, differences in terms of cultural contexts and characteristics of the industry are inevitable.

Managerial Implications

Our results reassure the role of inclusive leadership as a substantial stimulator of employee well-being, person-job fit, and innovative behaviour. Therefore, leaders should thoroughly consider their human resource approaches to make a constructive contribution to shaping an inspiring workplace where employees feel motivated to devote. Supportive behaviour of supervisors such as being available, willing to listen, and appreciative of their subordinates' voice of concerns and ideas would make them engrossed in tackling assigned tasks. Moreover, the provision of training programs, assessment of job performance, feedback, and rewards are advisable applications in which job resources are prioritised to achieve high employee well-being, person-job fit, and innovative behaviour (Choi et al., 2015; Hamid & Yahya, 2011).

The mediating role of innovative behaviour, mutual recognition respect, and intrinsic motivation must be emphasised to achieve greater performance. In an environment where employees can experience a positive emotional state, they are more likely to be intrinsically motivated and express mutual recognition respect for one another and receive the same thing in return. Decentralisation in decision-making, leadership practices of listening, understanding employees' viewpoints, inviting them to self-reflect and verbal praise are encouraged to increase intrinsic motivation. Mutual recognition respect can be gained by constructive feedbacks, communication, evaluation process in which individuals' feelings of self-esteem and self-worth are prioritised. Moreover, recruitment process where employees'

83

Phuong V. Nguyen et al.

potentials are sought to be qualified for the job demands and on-the-job training is vital as person-job fit would give employees more advantages in producing innovative behaviours and direct them for immediate adjustments, which results in developing appropriate characteristics for the job (Singh & Greenhaus, 2004). Consequently, cultivating employees' confidence in generating ideas would arouse high-quality job outcomes.

CONCLUSION

The emphasis of this study is put on inclusive leadership in its relationship with employee well-being, person-job fit, and innovative behaviour and how these factors work to enhance job performance. The results confirm inclusive leadership as a strong motivator of the three above-mentioned factors. Employee well-being and person-job fit, inconsistent with previous studies, surprisingly show no direct impact on job performance. The findings brought to light the mediating role of mutual recognition respect, intrinsic motivation, and innovative behaviour, adding to the existing literature in terms of examining job-related relationships in the Vietnamese context.

Furthermore, practical human resource approaches are introduced to achieve desired outcomes. Particularly, our findings theoretically and empirically contribute to managerial implications in terms of job performance by enhancing inclusive leadership practices, working motivations, and mutual recognition respect in the workplace. First, leaders should actively show their openness, availability, and accessibility in their interactions with employees to enhance motivational impacts on them. Second, it is important to set up a better working environment that emphasises mutual recognition respect and innovative behaviour, specifically, a workplace where the employees can recognise their sense of self-worth and comfortably share their ideas and thoughts. Based on the results of this study, organisations are recommended to enhance their performance by consistently increasing employee's intrinsic motivation. For instance, periodical feedbacks are particular activities that not only boost their intrinsic motivation but also build up mutual understanding as well as the stable relationship between superiors and subordinates. Last but not least, the indirect impacts of employee well-being and person-job fit on job performance are statistically significant. It is suggested that managers should take into account these two factors to improve the effects of other factors, including mutual recognition respect, intrinsic motivation, and innovative behaviour on job performance.

Limitations and Future Research

First, we focused on the number of respondents working in the targeted industry. Perhaps different industries could add more value to the research so that the finding will be generalised. Second, the research model has not explored demographic indicators and different regions in terms of cultural contexts and life styles. Hence, future studies should consider these characteristics.

REFERENCES

- Afsar, B., Badir, Y., & Khan, M.M. (2015). Person-job fit, person-organization fit and innovative work behavior: The mediating role of innovation trust. *Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 26(2), 105–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hitech.2015.09.001>
- Afsar, B., Badir, Y., & Bin Saeed, B. (2014). Transformational leadership and innovative work behavior. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 114(8), 1270–1300.
- Akkermans, J., Schaufeli, W.B., Brenninkmeijer, V., & Blonk, R.W.B. (2013). The role of career competencies in the Job Demands-Resources model. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 83(3), 356–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2013.06.011>
- Alge, B.J., Ballinger, G.A., Tangirala, S., & Oakley, J.L. (2006). Information privacy in organizations: Empowering creative and extrarole performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(1), 221.
- Amabile, T.M. (1988). A model of creativity and innovation in organizations. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 10, 123–167.
- Amabile, T.M., Barsade, S.G., Mueller, J.S., & Staw, B.M. (2005). Affect and creativity at work. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 50(3), 367–403. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.2005.50.3.367>
- Åmo, B.W. (2006). Employee innovation behaviour in health care: The influence from management and colleagues. *International Nursing Review*, 53(3), 231–237.
- Anderson, N., De Dreu, C.K.W., & Nijstad, B.A. (2004). The routinization of innovation research: A constructively critical review of the state of the science. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(2), 147–173.
- Arnold, K.A., Turner, N., Barling, J., Kelloway, E.K., & McKee, M.C. (2007). Transformational leadership and psychological well-being: The mediating role of meaningful work. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 12(3), 193–203. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.12.3.193>
- Avolio, B.J., Gardner, W.L., Walumbwa, F.O., Luthans, F., & May, D.R. (2004). Unlocking the mask: A look at the process by which authentic leaders impact follower attitudes and behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 15(6), 801–823.
- Babakus, E., Yavas, U., & Ashill, N.J. (2011). Service worker burnout and turnover intentions: Roles of person-job fit, servant leadership, and customer orientation. *Services Marketing Quarterly*, 32(1), 17–31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332969.2011.533091>

- Baldwin, M.W., Carrell, S.E., & Lopez, D.F. (1990). Priming relationship schemas: My advisor and the Pope are watching me from the back of my mind. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 26(5), 435–454.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191.
- Baron, R.M., & Kenny, D.A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173–1182.
- Baumeister, R.F., & Tice, D.M. (1990). Point-counterpoints: Anxiety and social exclusion.

- Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 9(2), 165.
- Benditt, T.M. (2008). Why respect matters. *The Journal of Value Inquiry*, 42(4), 487–496.
- Blau, P. (2017). *Exchange and power in social life*. New York: Routledge.
- Brickson, S. (2000). The impact of identity orientation on individual and organizational outcomes in demographically diverse settings. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(1), 82–101.
- Brkich, M., Jeffs, D., & Carless, S.A. (2002). A global self-report measure of person-job fit. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 18, 43–51. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759.18.1.43>
- Byrne, B.M. (2016). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming*, 3rd ed. New York: Routledge.
- Carmeli, A., Reiter-Palmon, R., & Ziv, E. (2010). Inclusive leadership and employee involvement in creative tasks in the workplace: The mediating role of psychological safety. *Creativity Research Journal*, 22(3), 250–260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2010.504654>
- Cartwright, S., & Holmes, N. (2006). The meaning of work: The challenge of regaining employee engagement and reducing cynicism. *Human Resource Management Review*, 16(2), 199–208.
- Cerasoli, C.P., Nicklin, J.M., & Ford, M.T. (2014). Intrinsic motivation and extrinsic incentives jointly predict performance: A 40-year meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(4), 980.
- Cerne, M., Jaklic, M., & Skerlavaj, M. (2013). Authentic leadership, creativity, and innovation: A multilevel perspective. *Leadership*, 9(1), 63–85. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742715012455130>
- Choi, S.B., Tran, T.B.H., & Kang, S.W. (2017). Inclusive leadership and employee well-being: The mediating role of person-job fit. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 18(6), 1877–1901. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-016-9801-6>
- Choi, S.B., Tran, T.B.H., & Park, B.I. (2015). Inclusive leadership and work engagement: Mediating roles of affective organizational commitment and creativity. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 43(6), 931–943.
- Choi, Y., & Lee, D. (2014). Psychological capital, big five traits, and employee outcomes. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 29(2), 122–140.
- Clarke, N., & Mahadi, N. (2017). Mutual recognition respect between leaders and followers: Its relationship to follower job performance and well-being. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(1), 163–178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2724-z>

- Coan, J.A., Kasle, S., Jackson, A., Schaefer, H.S., & Davidson, R.J. (2013). Mutuality and the social regulation of neural threat responding. *Attachment & Human Development*, 15(3), 303–315.
- Coyne, J.C., & Bolger, N. (1990). Doing without social support as an explanatory concept. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 9(1), 148–158.
- Cropanzano, R. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 874–900. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206305279602>
- Danna, K., & Griffin, R.W. (1999). Health and well-being in the workplace: A review and synthesis of the literature. *Journal of Management*, 25(3), 357–384.
- Deci, E.L., & Ryan, R.M. (1995). Human agency: The basis for true self-esteem. In M.H. Kemis (Ed.), *Efficacy, agency, and self-esteem* (pp. 31–50). New York: Plenum.
- Edwards, J.R. (1991). Person-job fit: A conceptual integration, literature review, and methodological critique. *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 6, 283–357.
- Farr, J.L., & Ford, C.M. (1990). Individual innovation. In M.A. West & J.L. Farr (Eds.), *Innovation and creativity at work: Psychological and organizational strategies* (pp. 63–80). Chichester: Wiley.

- Fletcher, J.K. (2004). The paradox of postheroic leadership: An essay on gender, power, and transformational change. *Leadership Quarterly*, 15(5), 647–661. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2004.07.004>
- Gagné, M., & Deci, E.L. (2005). Self-determination theory and work motivation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 331–362.
- Genero, N.P., Miller, J.B., Surrey, J., & Baldwin, L.M. (1992). Measuring perceived mutuality in close relationships: Validation of the mutual psychological development questionnaire. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 6(1), 36.
- Gong, Y., Huang, J.C., & Farh, J.L. (2009). Employee learning orientation, transformational leadership, and employee creativity: The mediating role of employee creative self-efficacy. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 52(4), 765–778. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2009.43670890>
- Green, S.B. (1991). How many subjects does it take to do a regression analysis? *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 26(3), 499–510. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr2603_7
- Guay, F., Vallerand, R.J., & Blanchard, C. (2000). On the assessment of situational intrinsic and extrinsic motivation: The Situational Motivation Scale (SIMS). *Motivation and Emotion*, 24(3), 175–213.
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L., & Black, W.C. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis with readings*, 5th ed. New York: Prentice-Hill.
- Halbesleben, J.R.B., & Buckley, M.R. (2004). Burnout in organizational life. *Journal of Management*, 30(6), 859–879. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jm.2004.06.004>
- Hamid, S.N.A., & Yahya, K.K. (2011). Relationship between person-job fit and person-organization fit on employees' work engagement: A study among engineers in semiconductor companies in Malaysia. Paper presented at the Annual Conference on Innovations in Business and Management London, 6, 1–30, CiteSeer.
- en et al.*
- Harackiewicz, J.M. (1979). The effects of reward contingency and performance feedback on intrinsic motivation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37(8), 1352.
- Harris, K.J., & Kacmar, K.M. (2006). Too much of a good thing: The curvilinear effect of leader-member exchange on stress. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 146(1), 65–84. <https://doi.org/10.3200/SOCP.146.1.65-84>
- Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations*. London: Sage.
- Hollander, E.P. (2009). *Inclusive leadership: The essential leader-follower relationship*. New York: Routledge.
- Honneth, A. (1995). *The struggle for recognition: The moral grammar of social struggles*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Huhtala, H., & Parzefall, M.R. (2007). A review of employee well-being and innovativeness: An opportunity for a mutual benefit. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 16(3), 299–306. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8691.2007.00442.x>